

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/545,667	04/07/2000	David R. Thomas	TI-27109	9856
23494	7590 03/07/2005		EXAMINER	
TEXAS INSTRUMENTS INCORPORATED			CUFF, MICHAEL A	
	474, M/S 3999			
DALLAS, TX	X 75265		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			3627	
			DATE MAILED: 03/07/200	•

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

A	Application No.	Applicant(s)	X
	09/545,667	THOMAS ET AL.	1
○ Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Michael Cuff	3627	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailir earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tilly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed /s will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 L 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under the second seco	s action is non-final. ince except for formal matters, pr		
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1,35,37-39,41-46 and 49-90 is/are per 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,35,37-39,41-46 and 49-90 is/are ref 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11.	cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Setion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). njected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicat prity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary		
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)	·

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1, 35, 38-39, 42-46 and 49-90 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

All of the above independent claims added the limitation with the phrase "the client history data including a personal client file for individually identified clients storing past purchasing records of the client" The claims are unclear because this phrase is grammatically unclear. Just as a suggestion, it may be more clear if the phrase was worded as -- the client history data includes a personal client file for individually identified clients and stores past purchasing records of the client --

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 37 and 41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kaplan in view of Shah-Nazaroff et al.

Application/Control Number: 09/545,667

Art Unit: 3627

Kaplan shows all of the limitations of the claims except for specifying the degraded signal for the samples and some details as to how the digital signal is processed.

Kaplan shows, figure 2, a network and method for preview and sale (includes authorization requests and replies, accepting different credit cards and debit cards is an inherent feature, which automatically selects from many payment authorizations) of music products. Kiosk unit 10 acts as a dialogue unit, digital processor, with a product reader including a signal-processing unit. Ranges of audio/video products are available. Database 60 maintains customer files and demand data.

Shah-Nazaroff et al. teaches, figure 5, a system and method for purchasing upgraded media features for programming transmissions. Figure 5 teaches the building of a client history which records the level of quality of a signal based on the price the client wishes to spend in order to increase profits by providing alternative quality products.

Based on the teaching of Shah-Nazaroff et al., it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to modify Kaplan system to select a defined quality level (degraded level) in order to increase profits by providing alternative quality products.

The examiner takes official notice the digital signal processes claimed are old and well known and are commonly used in order to manipulate digital products. This is admitted prior art based on previous prosecution history.

Art Unit: 3627

Based on the discussion above, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to modify Kaplan system to use standard digital processes in order to manipulate digital products.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1, 35, 38-39, 42-46 and 49-90 appear to be allowable over the prior art based on the best understanding of the examiner due to the limitations of identifying clients, using their past purchasing records, and defining a degraded level of audio/video signal based on the client's profile in combination with the rest of the limitations.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Art Unit: 3627

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Cuff whose telephone number is (703) 308-0610. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 to 5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Olszewski can be reached on (703) 308-5183. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

fact laff 3/2/05 Michael Cuff

March 2, 2005